

AFCTN Report 94-112

AFCTB-ID 94-107



Technical, TO 31R2-2FRC181-31, Publication Transfer Using:



O'Neil & Associates' Data Supporting:

ESC/MSL's MILSTAR Program

(Contract #F19628-89-C-0131)



MIL-STD-1840A MIL-D-28000A (IGES) MIL-M-28001B (SGML) MIL-R-28002A (Raster) MIL-D-28003 (CGM)



Quick Short Test Report

05 August 1994




Prepared for Electronic Systems Center Air Force CALS Program Office HQ ESC/AV-2 4027 Colonel Glenn Hwy Suite 300 Dayton OH 45431-1672

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

19960408 033

DISCLAIMER NOTICE



THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

Technical, TO 31R2-2FRC181-31, Publication Transfer

Using:

O'Neil & Associates' Data

Supporting:

ESC/MSL's MILSTAR Program

(Contract #F19628-89-C-0131)

MIL-STD-1840A

MIL-D-28000A (IGES)

MIL-M-28001B (SGML)

MIL-R-28002A (Raster)

MIL-D-28003 (CGM)

Quick Short Test Report

05 August 1994

Prepared By

Air Force CALS Test Bed Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

AFCTB Contact

Gary Lammers (513) 427-2295

AFCTN Contact

Mel Lammers (513) 427-2295

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of the work sponsored by the Air Force. Neither the United States Government, the Air Force, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, process disclosed, nor represents that its use would not infringe on privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the Air Force. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the Air Force, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161

This report and those involved in its preparation do not endorse any product, process, or company stated herein. Use of these means by anyone does not imply certification by the Air Force CALS Test Network (AFCTN).

Air Force CALS Test Bed

Notification of Test Results

05 August 1994

This notice documents the results of an Air Force CALS Test Bed (AFCTB) Quick Short Test Report (QSTR) evaluation of data submitted by:

O'Neil & Associates, Inc.

Identified as follows:

Title:

Technical, T O 31R2-2FRC181-31, Publication Transfer

Program:

MILSTAR

Program Office:

ESC/MSL

Contract No.:

F19628-89-C-0131

QSTR No.:

AFCTB-ID 94-107

Received on the following media:

9-Track Tape

The results of the QSTR evaluation are as follows:

MIL-STD-1840A Standard:

Pass

MIL-STD-1840A Media Format:

Pass

MIL-D-28000A IGES:

Pass

MIL-M-28001B SGML:

Pass

MIL-R-28002A Raster:

Pass

MIL-D-28003 CGM:

Pass

Formal results with associated disclaimer are documented and available from the AFCTB.

Air Force CALS Test Bed HQ ESC/AV-2P 4027 Colonel Glenn Highway, Suite 300 Dayton, OH 45431-1672

Phone: 513-257-3085

FAX: 513-257-5881

Contents

1.	Introduction1						
	1.1.	Background1					
	1.2.	Purpose2					
2.	Test I	Parameters3					
3.	1840A	Analysis6					
	3.1.	External Packaging6					
	3.2.	Transmission Envelope6					
		3.2.1. Tape Formats6					
		3.2.2. Declaration and Header Fields7					
4.	IGES A	Analysis7					
5.	SGML A	Analysis10					
6.	Raste	Analysis12					
7.	CGM Ái	nalysis13					
8.	Conclusions and Recommendations15						
9.	9. Appendix A - Tapetool Report Logs						
	9.1.	Tape Catalog16					
	9.2.	Tape Evaluation Log17					
	9.3.	Tape File Set Validation Log18					
	9.4.	XSoft Tape Log20					
10.	Append	dix B - Detailed IGES Analysis21					
	10.1.	File D001Q04621					
		10.1.1. Parser/Verifer Log21					

		10.1.2.	Output CADLeaf27
		10.1.3.	Output CALSView28
		10.1.4.	Output iges2draw/IslandDraw29
		10.1.5.	Output IGESWorks30
		10.1.6.	Output X-Change31
	10.2.	D001Q012	32
		10.2.1.	Output CADLeaf32
	10.3.	D001Q028	33
		10.3.1.	Output CADLeaf33
		10.3.2.	Output CALSView34
		10.3.3.	Output iges2draw/IslandDraw35
		10.3.4.	Output IGESWorks36
		10.3.5.	Output X-Change37
	10.4.	D001Q050.	38
		10.4.1.	Output CADLeaf38
		10.4.2.	Output CALSView39
		10.4.3.	Output iges2draw/IslandDraw40
		10.4.4.	Output IGESWorks41
		10.4.5.	Output X-Change42
11.	Append	dix C - De	tailed SGML Analysis43
	11.1.	Exoterica	Normalizer43
	11.2.	Exoterica	Validator Parser43
	11.3.	sgmls Par	ser Log44

12.	Append	dix D - De	etailed Raster Analysis45
	12.1.	Output Ca	adleaf - R09345
13.	Append	dix E - De	etailed CGM Analysis46
	13.1.	File D00	LC00146
		13.1.1.	Parser Log MetaCheck46
		13.1.2.	validcgm Log48
		13.1.3.	Output Cadleaf49
		13.1.4.	Output CALSView50
		13.1.5.	Output cgm2draw/IslandDraw51
		13.1.6.	Output Designer52
		13.1.7.	Output Freelance53
		13.1.8.	Output Harvard Graphics54
		13.1.9.	Output HiJaak Pro55
		13.1.10.	Output IslandDraw 4.056
		13.1.11.	Output Ventura57
		13.1.12.	Output X-Change58

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Department of Defense (DoD) Air Force Continuous Acquisition and Life-cycle Support (CALS) Test Network (AFCTN) is conducting tests of the military standard for the Automated Interchange of Technical Information, MIL-STD-1840A, and its companion suite of military specifications. The AFCTN is a DoD sponsored confederation of voluntary participants from industry and government managed by the Electronic Systems Center (ESC).

The primary objective of the AFCTN is to evaluate the effectiveness of the CALS standards for technical data interchange and to demonstrate the technical capabilities and operational suitability of those standards. Two general categories of tests are performed to evaluate the standards; formal and informal.

Formal tests are large and comprehensive, which follow a written test plan, require specific authorization from the DoD, and may take months to prepare, execute, and report.

Informal tests are quick and short, used by the AFCTN technical staff, to broaden the testing base. They include representative samples of the many systems and applications used by AFCTN participants. They also allow the AFCTN staff to gain feedback from many industry and government interpretations of the standards, to increase the base of participation in the CALS initiative, and respond to the many requests for help that come from participants. Participants take part voluntarily, benefit by receiving an evaluation of their latest implementation (interpretation) of the standards, interact with the AFCTN technical staff, gain experience using the standards, and develop increased confidence The results of informal tests are reported in Quick Short Test Reports (QSTRs) that briefly summarize the standard(s) tested, the hardware and software used, the nature of the test, and the results.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of the informal test, reported in this QSTR, was to analyze O'Neil & Associates' interpretation and use of the CALS standards in transferring technical publication data. O'Neil used its CALS Technical Data Interchange System to produce data, in accordance with the standards, and delivered it to the AFCTN technical staff on a 9-track magnetic tape.

2. Test Parameters

Test Plan:

AFCTB 94-107

Date of

Evaluation:

05 August 1997

Evaluator:

George Elwood

Air Force CALS Test Bed DET 2 HQ ESC/AV-2P 4027 Colonel Glenn Hwy

Suite 300

Dayton OH 45431-1672

Data

Originator:

Larry C. McKinley

O'Neil & Associates, Inc. 425 North Findlay Street Dayton OH 45404-2203

(513) 461-1852

Data

Description:

Technical Manual Test

1 Document Declaration file

4 Document Type Definitions (DTDs)

86 Initial Graphics Exchange Specification

(IGES) files

1 Text/Standard Generalized Markup Language

(SGML) file 3 Raster files

2 Computer Graphics Metafiles (CGM)

Data

Source System:

1840

HARDWARE

386 PC

SOFTWARE

AFCTN Tapetool v1.2.10

IGES

HARDWARE

Xerox 7650 Pro Imager Xerox 6085 Workstation SOFTWARE

Xerox Expert Drafting v5.0 Conversion of IGES files v5.1

Text/SGML

HARDWARE

386 PC

SOFTWARE

WordPerfect Intellitag v1.2 Exoterica Validator v1.1

Raster

HARDWARE

Xerox 7650 Pro Imager 6085 Workstation

SOFTWARE

Xerox XTI v2.2

Xerox XPI Image Conversion 2.6

CGM

HARDWARE

HP/Apollo 425T

SOFTWARE

Auto-trol S5000/CGM Converter 1.4

Evaluation Tools Used:

MIL-STD-1840A (TAPE)

SUN 3/280

AFCTN Tapetool v1.2.10 UNIX XSoft CAPS/CALS v40.4

MIL-D-28000 (IGES)

HP 735

ArborText iges2draw
Carberry CADLeaf Plus v3.1.2
Island Software IslandDraw v3.0
InterCAP X-Change

SGI Indigo2

IGES Data Analysis (IDA) CALSView

Sun SparcStation 2

Carberry CADLeaf Plus v3.1 IDA Parser/Verifier v92

International TechneGroup Incorporated (ITI) IGES/Works v1.3

MIL-M-28001 (SGML)

PC 486/50

Exoterica XGMLNormalizer v1.2e3.2 Exoterica Validator v2.0 ex1 Public Domain sgmls

MIL-R-28002 (Raster)

HP 735

AFCTN xrastb.hp
InterCAP X-Change v7.82

SGI Indigo2

IDA CALSView

SUN SparcStation 2

Carberry CADLeaf Plus v3.1

AFCTN validg4

PC 486

IDA IGESView Windows
Inset Systems HiJaak Pro
Expert Graphics RxHighlight v1.0

MIL-D-28003 (CGM)

HP 735

InterCAP X-Change v7.82
ArborText cgm2draw
Island Software IslandDraw v3.0

SGI Indigo 2

IDA CALSView

SUN SparcStation 2

Carberry CADLeaf Plus v3.1
Island Software IslandDraw v4.0

PC 486/50

Advanced Technology Center

(ATC) MetaCheck R 2.10

ATC ForView

Software Publishing Corporation

(SPC) Harvard Graphics v3.05

Inset Systems HiJaak Pro Lotus Freelance v2.01 Micrografx Designer v4.0

Standards Tested:

MIL-STD-1840A MIL-D-28000A MIL-M-28001B MIL-R-28002A MIL-D-28003

3. 1840A Analysis

3.1 External Packaging

The tape was hand delivered to the Air Force CALS Test Bed (AFCTB), enclosed in a box in accordance with ASTM D 3951.

The tape was enclosed in a barrier bag as required by MIL-STD-1840A, para. 5.3.1.2. Inspection of the tape reel showed the label indicating the recording density, as required by MIL-STD-1840A, para. 5.3.1. Attached to the tape was a packing list showing all files recorded on the tape.

3.2 Transmission Envelope

The 9-track tape received by the AFCTB contained MIL-STD-1840A files. The files were named per the standard conventions.

3.2.1 Tape Formats

The tape was run through the AFCTN $Tapetool\ v1.2.10$ utility. No errors were encountered while evaluating the contents of the tape labels.

The tape was read using XSoft's CAPS read1840A utility with no reported errors. It was noted only one DTD was present after the read. This occurred because the four DTDs had identical destination system document (dstdocid) record values, and the CAPS read1840A utility renames the files using the dstdocid record values. (MIL-STD-1840A permits identical dstdocid values for multiple files; MIL-STD-1840B corrected this problem).

The physical structure of the tape meets the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements.

3.2.2 Declaration and Header Fields

No errors were reported in the Document Declaration file and data file headers. This portion of the tape meets the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements.

4. IGES Analysis

The tape contained 86 IGES files. Because of the number of files submitted only five files (D001Q007, Q012, Q028, Q046 and Q050) were selected for detailed evaluation. These files were evaluated using IDA's parser/verifier set for CALS Class I.

Files Q021 and Q063 were noted as having a negative origin point. This requires the Carberry Cadleaf and the ArborText iges2draw utilities to be set using the bound data parameter.

Several other files were evaluated using the parser. While no CALS errors were reported, all files had basic IGES errors and/or warnings. These deficiencies were disjointed line segments or disjointed arcs. When viewed in a technical publication these deficiencies would not be apparent; however, when these areas were examined in detail they could be seen. In some cases these disjointed lines were thickened to hide the gaps and overlapping arcs.

A more critical error displayed during the evaluation was the use of entity 230, the sectioned areas used to make the arrowheads and circular connect points. All files displayed this property. For the most part it did not cause a problem. However, in files Q028, Q029, and Q050 this entity made the images display partially unusable characteristics in several applications. The connect points were joined together resulting in sets of triangle shapes on the image.

It was also noted that the basic leader line was thickened. This made the arrowhead look different than the rest of the lines. The log file from IDA's parser/verifier for file Q046 is included in Appendix B, Section 10 of this report.

Each file was viewed by at least one software application. The required basic conformance statement was found in the start section of the files. Files Q007, Q012, Q028, Q046

and Q050 were selected for the detailed analysis provided below.

The AFCTB has several tools for viewing IGES files. These tools are not used to generate a pass/fail but to report how commercially available software can handle the files. Many of these products are used in the development of technical publications and are good indicators of usability. The use of these products is not an endorsement nor an indication of CALS capability. All operations were performed using the default settings.

The files were converted using ArborText's *iges2draw* utility without reported errors. The resulting files were read into Island Software's *IslandDraw*, displayed and printed without a reported error. Files Q028 displayed a sectioned area problem in the arrows. File Q046 would be usable in a technical publication, but note the heavy lines used for the added arrowheads. File Q050 was missing some crosshatching.

All files were read using Carberry's CADLeaf software without a reported error. File Q028 and Q029 displayed errors because of the use of entity 230. Noted were the added lines on the arrows, and their appearance. File Q046 displayed correctly but had thick leader lines and arrowheads. File Q050 displayed an error associated with the sectioned area entity 230.

The files were read and displayed using IDA's CALSView. Errors were noted in the files as reported above with the 230 entity.

The files were imported into ITI's IGESWorks without a reported error. On file Q028 note the missing arrows and arrowheads that were created using the 230 entity. File Q046 displayed correctly. File Q050 had many missing lines and arrowheads. These are all the entities created using the IGES 230 sectioned area entity.

According to David Mattei of ITI, "The IGES files in this report contains several Sectioned Area entities (IGES type 230). These entities are illegally defined in the IGES file. IGES requires that the curve defining the sectioned area be closed and the entities in these IGES files fail to meet this requirement. The Validation module in IGES/Works flags these entities as being invalid.

Version 2.0.0 of IGES/Works does not display these invalid Sectioned Area entities as you corectly stated. In Version 2.0.2 of IGES/Works which is currently available, we have made a change to at least display the boundary of the sectioned area.... Even with this new approach to displaying these entities, the display still does not look completely correct. This is a result of the fact that these IGES entities violate the rules of IGES. Also please note that some of the other plots in the test report are not completely correct for the same reason."

The files were imported into InterCAP's X-Change without a reported error. Files Q028, Q046, and Q050 appeared to be correct.

The IGES files meet the CALS MIL-D-28000A specification. Many of the files had errors due to the use of entity 230, to create the arrowheads and connect points. This caused some systems to display unusable files.

5. SGML Analysis

The tape contained one text and four DTD files. The basic DTD contained the graphic references and pointed to the basic ATOS DTD, which was named BSPEC. The BSPEC DTD pointed to two other subset DTDs; CALSFIGS (figure unique tags), and CALSTABS (table unique tags).

```
BASIC (G003) ---> BSPEC (G004)
|-> CALSTABS (G005)
|-> CALSFIGS (G006)
```

The AFCTB has several parsers available for evaluating submitted DTD and text files. These tools are not used to generate a pass/fail but to report how commercially available software can handle the files. These products are used in the development of technical publications and are good indicators of usability. The use of these products is not an endorsement nor an indication of CALS capability. All operations were performed using the default settings unless specified in the report. Changes to DTD or text files required by each system are not documented in the report.

The text and DTD files were evaluated using ArborText's Adept parser. No errors or warnings were issued for the DTD or text files.

The text and DTD files were evaluated using Exoterica's Validator exl parser. No errors were reported; however, two warnings for a mixed content model in the BSPEC DTD were reported.

The text and DTD files were tested using Exoterica's XGML-Normalizer parser. No errors or warnings were issued by this utility.

The text and DTD files were evaluated using the Public Domain sgmls parser. Many errors were issued for graphic references which could not be found. These are not considered errors for this report.

The SGML files meet the CALS MIL-M-28001B specification.

6. Raster Analysis

The tape contained three Raster files. These files were evaluated using the AFCTN validg4 utility. This program reported that the files meet the CALS MIL-R-28002A specification.

The files were read into the AFCTN xrastb.sun4 viewing utility. No problems were encountered. It was noted that the images were white on black, which made viewing difficult. For technical publications graphic images are normally black on white.

The AFCTB has several tools for viewing Raster files. These tools are not used to generate a pass/fail but to report how commercially available software can handle the files. Many of these products are used in the development of technical publications and are good indicators of usability. The use of these products is not an endorsement nor an indication of CALS capability. All operations were performed using the default settings.

The files were converted using ArborText's g42tiff utility without a reported error. The resulting files were read into Island Software's IslandPaint and displayed.

The Raster files were read into Carberry's CADLeaf software and displayed without a reported error.

The files were read using IDA's CALSView and displayed without a reported error.

The files were read and displayed using IDA's IGESView and IGESView for Windows without a reported error.

The files were read and displayed using Inset Systems' HiJaak Pro without a reported error.

The Raster files were converted using Rosetta Technologies' Prepare without a reported error. The resulting files were read into Rosetta Technologies' Preview and displayed.

The Raster files were imported into Expert Graphics' Rx-Highlight and displayed without a reported error.

The Raster files meet the CALS MIL-R-28002A specification.

7. CGM Analysi

The tape contained two CGM files. The files were evaluated using ATC's MetaCheck with CALS options. No CALS or CGM errors or warnings were reported by this utility.

The CGM files were evaluated using the beta AFCTN validcgm utility. This utility reported no errors in either file.

The AFCTB has several tools for viewing CGM files. These tools are not used to generate a pass/fail but to report how commercially available software can handle the files. Many of these products are used in the development of technical publications and are good indicators of usability. The use of these products is not an endorsement nor and indication of CALS capability. All operations were performed using the default settings.

The CGM files were converted using ArborText's cgm2draw utility without a reported error. The resulting files were read into Island Software's IslandDraw v3.1 and displayed. In file C001, the text font was noted in error with the word "CAUTION" extending beyond the symbol toward the right.

The files were read into Carberry's CADLeaf software and displayed. File C001 had a problem with the text extending beyond the graphics to the right. The selection of the proportional text font option of CADLeaf resulted in a correct display.

The files were read into IDA's CALSView. File C001 had a text font problem with the text extending beyond the graphics to the right. The type font appeared to be wrong.

The files were imported into the Micrografx Designer without a reported error. File C001 displayed and printed correctly.

The files were read into ATC's ForView without a reported error. The text exceeded the length of the line to the right.

The files were imported into Lotus' Freelance and displayed. The text font in file C001 was incorrect. The text was displayed and printed in very small letters.

The files were imported into SPC's Harvard Graphics v3.05 without a reported error. File C001 did not display the line between the text and the graphics. The text exceeded the length of the graphics to the right.

The files were read into Inset Systems' HiJaak Pro without a reported error. File C001 had a problem in the text font. The text extended beyond the length of the line between the graphics and the text.

The files were imported directly into Island Software's *IslandDraw v4.0* without a reported error. The image appeared to be correct, although the text was not centered on the line.

The files were read into InterCAP's X-Change without a reported error. The text font was in error, and the text exceeded the length of the line toward the right.

The files were imported into Corel's *Ventura Publisher* without a reported error. File C001 had a noted problem with the text. The displayed and printed text was very small and located on the left side of the line.

While both CGM files were reported without error most applications, in the AFCTB, had problems with the text in file C001. The text was normally too large and the words exceeded the length of the line to the right. The CGM files meet the CALS MIL-D-28003 specification.

8. Conclusions and Recommendations

The physical structure of the tape had no reported errors or warnings. The CALS headers were correct. This portion of the tape meets the requirements defined in CALS MIL-STD-1840A.

The IGES files reported basic IGES errors and/or warnings. While these were reported, they were not critical for the intended use in technical publications. The IGES files meet the CALS MIL-D-28000A specification.

The SGML files meet the CALS MIL-M-28001B specification.

The Raster files meet the CALS MIL-R-28002A specification.

The CGM files meet the CALS MIL-D-28003 specification, although most applications had problems with the text font in file C001.

The tape submitted by O'Neil & Associates, Inc. meets the CALS MIL-STD-1840A requirements.

9. Appendix A - Tapetool Report Logs

9.1 Tape Catalog

CALS Test Network Catalog Evaluation - Version 1.2; Release 10 (C)

Standards referenced:

MIL-STD-1840A (1987) - Automated Interchange of Technical Information ANSI X3.27 (1987) - File Structure and labeling of Magnetic Tapes for Information Interchange

ANSI X3.4 (1986) - Coded Character Sets - 7 Bit ASCII

Fri Jul 22 14:42:23 1994

MIL-STD-1840A File Catalog

File Set Directory: /cals/u1210/Set088

Page: 1

File Name	File Type	Record Format/ Length		Selected/ Extracted
D001	Document Declaration		02048/000001	Extracted
D001C001	CGM		00800/000003	Extracted
D001C002	CGM	F/00080	00800/000003	Extracted
D001G003	DTD	D/00260	02048/000003	Extracted
D001G004	DTD	D/00260	02048/000013	Extracted
D001G005	DTD	D/00260	02048/000002	Extracted
D001G006	DTD	D/00260	02048/000002	Extracted
D001Q007	IGES	F/00080	02000/000261	Extracted
D001Q008	IGES	F/00080	02000/000081	Extracted
	<><< PART OF LOG FIL	E REMOVE	D HERE >>>>	
D001Q091	IGES	F/00080	02000/000162	Extracted
D001Q092	IGES	F/00080	02000/000116	Extracted
D001R093	Raster	F/00128	02048/000027	Extracted
D001R094	Raster	F/00128	02048/000010	Extracted
D001R096	Raster	F/00128	02048/000007	Extracted
D001T095	Text	D/00260	02048/000194	Extracted

Catalog Process terminated normally.

9.2 Tape Evaluation Log

CALS Test Network Tape Evaluation - Version 1.2; Release 10 (C)
Standards referenced:
ANSI X3.27 (1987) - File Structure and labeling of Magnetic Tapes
for Information Interchange

ANSI X3.4 (1986) - Coded Character Sets - 7 Bit ASCII

Mon Aug 8 08:36:31 1994

ANSI Tape Import Log

Allocating tape drive /dev/rmt0...

/dev/rmt0 allocated.

VOL10NA001

Label Identifier: VOL1
Volume Identifier: ONA001
Volume Accessibility:
Owner Identifier:
Label Standard Version: 4

HDR1D001

ONA00100010001000000 94189 00000 000000

Label Identifier: HDR1
File Identifier: D001
File Set Identifier: ONA001
File Section Number: 0001
File Sequence Number: 0001
Generation Number: 0000
Generation Version Number: 00
Creation Date: 94189

Creation Date: 94189
Expiration Date: 00000
File Accessibility:
Block Count: 000000

Implementation Identifier:

<><< PART OF LOG FILE REMOVED HERE >>>>

######### End of Volume ONA001 ##############

######### End Of Tape File Set #############

Tape Import Process terminated normally.

9.3 Tape File Set Validation Log

Saving Text Header File: D001T095_HDR Saving Text Data File: D001T095_TXT

```
CALS Test Network File Set Evaluation - Version 1.2; Release 10 (C)
  Standards referenced:
   MIL-STD-1840A (1987) - Automated Interchange of Technical Information
Mon Aug 8 08:46:54 1994
MIL-STD-1840A File Set Evaluation Log
File Set: Set045
Found file: D001
Extracting Document Declaration Header Records...
Evaluating Document Declaration Header Records...
srcsys: O'Neil & Assoc. CAGE 83007
srcdocid: TO 31R2-2FRC181-31
srcrelid: NONE
chglvl: ORIGINAL
dteisu: 19940621
dstsys: RAYTHEON CAGE 49956
dstdocid: TO 31R2-2FRC181-31
dstrelid: NONE
dtetrn: 19940708
dlvacc: NONE
filcnt: C2,G4,Q86,R3,T1
ttlcls: UNCLASSIFIED
doccls: UNCLASSIFIED
doctyp: Technical Publication
docttl: NONE
                          <><< PART OF LOG FILE REMOVED HERE >>>>
Found file: D001T095
Extracting Text Header Records...
Evaluating Text Header Records...
srcdocid: TO 31R2-2FRC181-31
dstdocid: TO 31R2-2FRC181-31
txtfilid: W
doccls: UNCLASSIFIED
notes: ...TG\BK31 621.asc
```

Evaluating numbering scheme...

No errors were encountered during numbering scheme evaluation. Numbering scheme evaluation complete.

Checking file count...

No errors were encountered during file count verification. File Count verification complete.

No errors were encountered in Document D001.

No errors were encountered in this File Set.

MIL-STD-1840A File Set Evaluation Complete.

9.4 XSoft Tape Log

```
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: --- Read declaration file 'D001
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/T031R2-2FRC181-3/
ESDCAU.C.cgm'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/T031R2-2FRC181-3/
TXTRESD.C.cgm'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file
'aftb9497/T031R2-2FRC181-3/T031R22FRC18131.G.dtd'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/TO31R2-2FRC181-3/
M0301.Q.igs'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/TO31R2-2FRC181-3/
M0303.Q.igs'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/TO31R2-2FRC181-3/
M0304.Q.igs'.
                          <><< PART OF LOG FILE REMOVED HERE >>>>
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/TO31R2-2FRC181-3/
M0302.R.cci'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/T031R2-2FRC181-3/
M03132.R.cci'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/T031R2-2FRC181-3/
MST04.R.cci'.
/cals/caps/Bin/read1840A: writing data file 'aftb9497/TO31R2-2FRC181-3/
W.T.sgm'.
-- declaration file indicates 1 files of type T
-- declaration file indicates 4 files of type G
-- declaration file indicates 0 files of type H
-- declaration file indicates 86 files of type Q
-- declaration file indicates 3 files of type R
-- declaration file indicates 2 files of type C
-- declaration file indicates O files of type X
-- declaration file indicates O files of type P
-- declaration file indicates 0 files of type Z
```

10. Appendix B - Detailed IGES Analysis

10.1 File D001Q046

10.1.1 Parser/Verifer Log

```
**********
***** IGES PARSER/VERIFIER
****
        MARCH 1994
***** IGES Data Analysis
****
     (708) 344-1815
                        ****
**********
Input file is q046.igs
Checking conformance to CALS Class I (MIL-D-28000A 2/10/92)
Today is July 23, 1994 11:42 AM
       CHECK FILE SYNTAX
**********
  Section Records
  Start
                 5
  Global
                 3
              7332 ( 3666 Entities)
  Directory
              5919
  Parameter
  Terminate
  No syntax errors detected.
*********
***** SUMMARY AND STATISTICS ****
*********
*** File and Product Name Information ***
  File name from sender = 'M03.65.dwg'
  File creation Date.Time = '940505.101638'
  Model change Date.Time = ''
  Author
                       = 'Brian Keefe'
                       = ''
  Department
  Product name from sender = 'Xerox Expert'
  Destination product name = ''
```

```
*** Parameter Delimiters ***
  Delimiter = ','
  Terminator = ';'
*** Originating System Data ***
                      = 'Xerox Expert version 5.0'
  Preprocessor version = '5.0'
  Specification version = 6 (IGES 4.0)
*** Precision levels ***
   Integer bits =
  Floating point - Exponent = 38 Mantissa =
   Double precision - Exponent = 38 Mantissa =
*** Global Model Data ***
  Model scale
Unit flag
                     = 1.0000E+00
                       = 1
   Units
                        = 'INCH'
  Line weights
                       = 3
  Maximum line thickness = 4.166667E-02
  Minimum line thickness = 1.388889E-02
   Granularity = 1.000000E-05
   Maximum coordinate = 1.100000E+01
   Drafting standard applicable to original data is not specified.
*** Status Flag Summary ***
 Blank status: Visible
                                         3666
              Blanked
 Independence: Independent
                                         3664
              Physically Subordinate
                                           0
              Logically Subordinate
                                            2
              Totally Subordinate
                                           0
 Entity use:
              Geometry
                                         3602
              Annotation
                                          61
              Definition
                                           2
              Other
                                           1
              Logical/Positional
                                           0
              2D parametric
              Construction geometry
                                           0
```

0

Not Specified

Hierarchy:	Structure DE applies	3666
	Subordinate DE applies	0
	Hierarchy property applies	0
	Not Specified	0

*** Entity Occurrence Counts ***

Entity	Form	Level	Count	Type
100	0	0	133	Circular arc
104	1	О	1032	Conic arc - ellipse
110	0	0	1405	Line
124	0	0	1032	Transformation matrix
212	0	0	61	General note
404	0	0	1	Drawing
406	16	0	1	Property - Drawing size
410	0	0	1	View - Orthographic parallel

*** Entity Count by Level ***

Level Count 0 3666

*** Labeling Information ***

100% of the entities are labeled. Unlabeled 0

Label	Count	Label	Count	Label	Count
View	1*	GNote	61*	Line	1405*
Matrix	1032*	Ellipse	1032*	Arc	132*
Circle	1*	Property	1	Drawing	1*

NITPICK 2327: One or more of the flagged entity labels are not right-justified.

*** Line Fonts Used in Data ***

100 102 104 106 108 110 112 114

- - - - - - - - - Undefined

130 - 1030 - - 1371 - - Solid

- - - - - - 3 - - Dashed

- - - - - - - - - Phantom

- - - - - 22 - - Center-line

3 - 2 - - 9 - Dotted

- - - - - - - Undefined

User defined

```
116 118 120 122 124 125 126 128
                                      Undefined
                  1032
                                      Solid
                                      Dashed
                        <><< PART OF LOG FILE REMOVED HERE >>>>
*** Line Widths Used in Data ***
    Weight
               Count
                        Width
 Defaulted
              2431
                        (0.0139)
              1096
                       (0.0139)
      1
                       (0.0417)
               135
                        (0.0278)
*** Colors Used in Data ***
 Defaulted
              1035
     Green
               2631
 ********
          ENTITY ANALYSIS
 **********
*** Entity type: 100
       2242: Radii not equal at D 6329; difference is 1.006594E-05.
ERROR
       2242: Radii not equal at D 6347; difference is 1.397459E-05.
ERROR
       2242: Radii not equal at D 6353; difference is 1.152690E-05.
ERROR
       2242: Radii not equal at D 6355; difference is 1.328607E-05.
ERROR
*** Entity type: 104
WARNING 2265: Start point off conic by 9.015687E-05 at D
                                                        65.
WARNING 2039: End point off conic by 1.520354E-05 at D
                                                       65.
WARNING 2265: Start point off conic by 1.560284E-04 at D
WARNING 2039: End point off conic by 2.741484E-05 at D
WARNING 2265: Messages regarding invalid start point suppressed.
WARNING 2039: Messages regarding conic end points suppressed.
SEVERE 2029: Degenerate conic at D
                                   4497.
SEVERE 2029: Degenerate conic at D
                                   4503.
```

4519.

4525.

SEVERE 2029: Degenerate conic at D


SEVERE 2029: Degenerate conic at D

```
*** Entity type: 110
 -- 1405 lines averaging 1.452693E-01 units --
 *** Entity type: 124
1032 transformation matrices, 1032 non-zero translations.
NOTE
        2341: 1032 matrices contain translation information.
 *** Entity type: 212
       61 text strings in data file.
      Average text aspect ratio in file is 0.9055694.
      Minimum text aspect ratio in file is 0.6966666.
      Maximum text aspect ratio in file is 1.0000005.
      FONTS USED IN FILE
      FONT
           COUNT
                     NAME
          1
                 61
                     Default ASCII Style
 *** Entity type: 404
NITPICK 2074: Entity use flag must be 1 for Drawing entity at D
Drawing at D
             7331 contains 1 views.
Drawing at D 7331 contains 0 annotation entities.
 *** Entity type: 406
 *** Entity type: 410
NITPICK 2073: Entity use flag must be 1 for View entity at D
  Scale of view at D
                     1 is 1.000000E+00.
Orthographic View entity at D
                                  1 has 0 clipping planes specified.
   XMIN = Not Set
                      XMAX = Not Set
   YMIN = Not Set
                      YMAX = Not Set
   ZMIN = Not Set
                      ZMAX = Not Set
 *** Message Summary ***
2015: 642 Mathematically incorrect definitions.
2016: 2 Invalid entity use flag.
```

*** Error Summary ***


- 0 fatal errors
- 4 severe errors
- 4 errors
- 634 warnings
 - 0 cautions
 - 3 nitpicks
 - 1 notes
- *** End of Analysis of q046.igs ***

10.1.2 Output CADLeaf




SCALE <u>C.08 (0.2xc.4)</u> % PLOTTED AT <u>100</u>


10.1.3 Output CALSView




10.1.4 Output iges2draw/IslandDraw



10.1.5 Output IGESWorks




10.1.6 Output X-Change



SCALE <u>Ø.08 (0.2x0.4)</u>
% PLOTTED AT <u>100</u>


10.2 D001Q012

10.2.1 Output CADLeaf




10.3 D001Q028


10.3.1 Output CADLeaf



10.3.2 Output CALSView




10.3.3 Output iges2draw/IslandDraw




iges2draw/id q028

10.3.4 Output IGESWorks



10.3.5 Output X-Change




SCALE <u>Ø.2</u>

** PLOTTED AT <u>100%</u>


TYPE(PER SPEC)

10.4 D001Q050


10.4.1 Output CADLeaf




10.4.2 Output CALSView




10.4.3 Output iges2draw/IslandDraw



10.4.4 Output IGESWorks





11. Appendix C - Detailed SGML Analysis

11.1 Exoterica Normalizer

No reported errors or warnings.

11.2 Exoterica Validator Parser


```
<!-- **Warning** in "i:\94097\BSPEC.DTD" (entity "%BSPEC"), line 600,
     used in "\xqml\9497.dtd", line 232:
   An element with mixed content should permit data characters ("#PCDATA")
   everywhere.
   The element being declared is "WARNING".
   <!ELEMENT warning -- (title?, (%txt; | para | list)+) >
-->
<!-- Capacity points/limits:
      TOTALCAP =66138/200000
      ENTCAP =14912/200000
      ENTCHCAP =3740/70000
      ELEMCAP =3840/70000
               =23072/70000
      GRPCAP
      EXGRPCAP =896/70000
      EXNMCAP =3872/70000
      ATTCAP =5984/200000
      ATTCHCAP =315/70000
      AVGRPCAP =9248/70000
      NOTCAP
             =96/70000
      NOTCHCAP =163/70000
               =0/70000
      IDCAP
      IDREFCAP = 0/70000
      MAPCAP
             =0/70000
      LKSETCAP =0/70000
      LKNMCAP =0/70000
-->
<!-- 1 warning reported. -->
```

11.3 sgmls Parser Log

TOTALCAP 66130 ENTCAP 14912 ENTCHCAP 3732 ELEMCAP 3840 **GRPCAP 23072** EXGRPCAP 896 EXNMCAP 3872 ATTCAP 5984 ATTCHCAP 315 AVGRPCAP 9248 NOTCAP 96 NOTCHCAP 163 IDCAP 0 IDREFCAP 0 MAPCAP 0 LKSETCAP 0 LKNMCAP 0

12. Appendix D - Detailed Raster Analysis

12.1 Output Cadleaf - R093



13. Appendix E - Detailed CGM Analysis

13.1 File D001C001

13.1.1 Parser Log MetaCheck

```
MetaCheck Version 2.10 -- CGM/MIL-D-28003 Conformance Analyzer
Copyright 1988-93 CGM Technology Software
Execution Date: 07/23/94
                        Time: 12:55:42
Metafile Examined : i:\94097\c001.cgm
Pictures Examined : All
Elements Examined
                 : All
Bytes Examined : All
Tracing not selected.
======= CGM Conformance Violation Report =========
No Errors Detected
====== CALS CGM Profile (MIL-D-28003) Report =========
No profile discrepancies detected.
========= Conformance Summary Report ===========
MetaCheck Version 2.10 -- CGM/MIL-D-28003 Conformance Analyzer
Copyright 1988-93 CGM Technology Software
Execution Date: 07/23/94
                         Time: 12:55:44
Name of CGM under test: i:\94097\c001.cgm
Encoding
                   : Binary
Pictures Examined : All
Elements Examined : All
Bytes Examined : All
BEGIN METAFILE string : >esdcau<
METAFILE DESCRIPTION : >AUTO-TROL/REL-1.0 MIL-D-28003/BASIC-<
                     >1<
```

Picture 1 starts at octet offset 124: >esdcau<

Conformance Summary : This file conforms to the CGM specification.

This file meets the CALS CGM Profile (MIL-D-28003).

Summary of Testing Performed and Errors Found:

1 Pictures Tested 97 Elements Tested 1528 Octets Tested

No Errors Were Detected


======== End of Conformance Report ==========

13.1.2 validcgm Log


Analysis for file c001.cgm using table table (0, 1) occurred 1 time (0, 2) occurred 1 time (0, 3) occurred 1 time (0, 4) occurred 1 time (0, 5) occurred 1 time (1, 1) occurred 1 time (1, 2) occurred 1 time (1, 7) occurred 1 time (1, 8) occurred 1 time (1, 9) occurred 1 time (1, 11) occurred 1 time (1, 13) occurred 1 time (2, 1) occurred 1 time (2, 3) occurred 1 time (2, 4) occurred 1 time (2, 5) occurred 1 time (2, 6) occurred 1 time (2, 7) occurred 1 time (4, 1) occurred 40 times (4, 4) occurred 1 time (4, 7) occurred 6 times (5, 3) occurred 2 times (5, 4) occurred 1 time (5, 10) occurred 1 time (5, 14) occurred 1 time (5, 15) occurred 1 time (5, 16) occurred 1 time (5, 18) occurred 1 time (5, 22) occurred 1 time (5, 23) occurred 1 time (5, 28) occurred 1 time (5, 30) occurred 1 time (5, 34) occurred 20 times


13.1.3 Output Cadleaf

CAUTI




13.1.4 Output CALSView






13.1.5 Output cgm2draw/IslandDraw

CAUIION




c2d/id c001i

13.1.6 Output Designer




13.1.7 Output Freelance




13.1.8 Output Harvard Graphics




13.1.9 Output HiJaak Pro



13.1.10 Output IslandDraw 4.0



13.1.11 Output Ventura



13.1.12 Output X-Change

